



Appl. No. 10/019,179  
Atty. Docket No. CM2174M  
Amdt. Dated December 10, 2004  
Reply to Office Action of September 16, 2004  
Customer No. 27752

1751  
JAW

Certification of Mailing Transmission	
I hereby certify that I have reasonable basis to expect that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being submitted as indicated below:	
[ X ] mailed or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	
James P. McBride	43,284
Name	Registration No (if applicable)
Signature	
December 10, 2004	
Date	

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Application No. : 10/019,179  
Applicant(s) : Besselievre et al.  
Filed : December 21, 2001  
Title : Process For Making Amine Reaction Products  
TC/A.U. : 1751  
Examiner : John R. Hardee  
Conf. No. : 1314  
Docket No. : CM2174M  
Customer No. : 27752

**REPLY & AMENDMENT AFTER 1<sup>ST</sup> OFFICE ACTION**  
**UNDER 37 CFR §1.111(b) & (c)**

Mail Stop Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P. O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

**INTRODUCTORY REMARKS**

In response to the Office Action of September 16, 2004, please amend the above-identified application as follows, consider the following remarks and reconsider the application.

*Amendments to the Claims* begin on page 2 of this paper.

*Remarks* begin on page 6 of this paper.

01/03/2005 RWIMBUSH 00000004 162480 10019179

01 FC:1201 400.00 DA  
02 FC:1202 300.00 DA

Appl. No. 10/019,179  
Atty. Docket No. CM2174M  
Amdt. Dated December 10, 2004  
Reply to Office Action of September 16, 2004  
Customer No. 27752

Applicants contend that the cited art is nonanalogous art as such art is related to processes for the selective delivery and retention of aldehyde and nicotine by-products from cigarette smoke. Furthermore, even if the cited art were analogous art, such art does not teach or suggest, for reasons previously given, all the limitations of new Claims 21-46. Thus, a rejection of new Claims 21-46 is not merited.

#### CONCLUSION

In light of the above remarks, it is requested that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw the rejections under 35 U.S.C §112, § 101, § 102 and § 103. Early and favorable action in the case is respectfully requested.

In view of the foregoing, reconsideration of this application, entry of the amendments presented herein, and allowance of Claims 21-46 is respectfully requested.

Respectfully Submitted,

THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY

By

  
Signature

Date: December 10, 2004  
Customer No. 27752

James F. Mc Bride  
Registration No. 43,784  
(513) 627-0079